Department of Revenue Presentation to Resource Development Council

November 2, 2023

Adam Crum DOR Commissioner





Overview of State Revenues & Forecast (Spring 2023) FY 25 Budget Development Other Economic Development Prospects Alaska's Credit and Debt Profile



Overview of State Revenues & Forecast (Spring 2023)



2023 Spring Revenue Forecast - Unrestricted

		History	Fore	cast
		FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
ANS Oil Price	Fall 2022 Forecast	\$91.41	\$88.45	\$81.00
(Nominal \$/bbl)	Spring 2023 Forecast	\$91.41	\$85.25	\$73.00
	Change	\$0.00	(\$3.20)	(\$8.00)
Permanent Fund Transfer	Fall 2022 Forecast	3,069.3	3,360.6	3,526.1
(\$ millions)	Spring 2023 Forecast	3,069.3	3,360.6	3,526.1
	Change	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unrestricted Revenue,	Fall 2022 Forecast	3,869.9	3,865.2	3,410.1
excluding Permanent Fund	Spring 2023 Forecast	3,869.9	3,618.9	2,731.2
Transfer (\$ millions)	Change	0.0	(246.3)	(678.9)
Unrestricted Revenue,	Fall 2022 Forecast	6,939.2	7,225.8	6,936.2
including Permanent Fund	Spring 2023 Forecast	6,939.2	<mark>6,</mark> 979.5	6,257.3
Transfer (\$ millions)	Change	0.0	(246.3)	(678.9)



2023 Spring Total Revenue Forecast

\$ Millions	History	Fore	cast	Percent Change			
Revenue Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY2024	FY 2022 to FY 2024	FY 2023 to FY 2024		
Unrestricted General Fund							
Investment Revenue - Permanent Fund	3,069.3	3,360.6	3,526.1	14.9%	4.9%		
Investment Revenue - Other Investments	(59.1)	75.1	61.4	203.9%	-18.2%		
Petroleum Revenue	3,480.9	3,085.0	2,204.3	-36.7%	-28.5%		
Non-Petroleum Revenue	448.1	458.8	465.4	3.9%	1.4%		
Subtotal	6,939.2	6,979.5	6,257.3	-9.8%	-10.3%		
Designated General Fund							
Investment Revenue	(256.9)	123.9	116.4	145.3%	-6.1%		
Petroleum Revenue	97.6	92.1	72.8	-25.5%	-21.0%		
Non-Petroleum Revenue	476.7	476.7	502.2	5.4%	5.4%		
Subtotal	317.4	692.6	691.4	117.8%	-0.2%		
Other Restricted Revenue							
Investment Revenue	(6,165.2)	1,767.7	1,799.6	129.2%	1.8%		
Petroleum Revenue	479.1	591.3	399.9	-16.5%	-32.4%		
Non-Petroleum Revenue	166.3	268.9	275.2	65.5%	2.3%		
Subtotal	(5,519.8)	2,628.0	2,474.7	144.8%	-5.8%		
Federal Revenue							
Federal Receipts	6,895.1	6,113.7	5,433.7	-21.2%	-11.1%		
Petroleum Revenue	16.7	31.0	46.9	180.4%	51.2%		
Subtotal	6,911.8	6,144.7	5,480.6	-20.7%	-10.8%		
Total State Revenue	8,648.6	16,444.8	14,904.0	72.3%	-9.4%		





Source: DOR Spring 2023 Revenue Forecast

FY2023 Total Revenues





2023 Spring Revenue Forecast

						Mil	lions of Doll	ars					
		History	History Forecast										
		FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033
	Unrestricted General Fund Revenue												
1	Investment Revenue	3,010.2	3,435.7	3,587.5	3,726.4	3,872.4	4,050.4	4,084.4	4,194.4	4,303.4	4,414.4	4,527.4	4,643.4
2	Petroleum Revenue	3,480.9	3,085.0	2,204.3	2,006.8	1,904.9	1,826.7	1,876.5	1,822.6	1,777.3	1,811.5	1,900.6	2,020.8
3	Non-Petroleum Revenue	448.1	458.8	465.4	496.2	508.1	518.1	538.0	555.0	570.2	579.7	593.5	603.9
4	Total Unrestricted General Fund Revenue	6,939,2	6,979.5	6,257.3	6,229.3	6,285.4	6,395.2	6,498.9	6,572.0	6,650.9	6,805.6	7,021.6	7,268.0
5	Percent from Petroleum	50%	44%	35%	32%	30%	29%	29%	28%	27%	27%	27%	28%
6	Permanent Fund Transfer	3,069.3	3,360.6	3,526.1	3,665.0	3,811.0	3,989.0	4,023.0	4,133.0	4,242.0	4,353.0	4,466.0	4,582.0
7	Total Unrestricted Revenue (not including												
	Permanent Fund Transfer)	3,869.9	3,618.9	2,731.2	2,564.3	2,474.4	2,406.2	2,475.9	2,439.0	2,408.9	2,452.6	2,555.6	2,686.0
8	Percent from Petroleum	90%	85%	81%	78%	77%	76%	76%	75%	74%	74%	74%	75%
	Selected Petroleum Data												
9	Price Per Barrel of ANS Crude (dollars)	91.41	85.25	73.00	70.00	69. <mark>0</mark> 0	67.00	66. <mark>0</mark> 0	65.00	66.00	68.00	70.00	72.00
10	Average Daily ANS Production												
	(thousand barrels per day)	476.5	485.2	496.4	497.9	494.5	510.3	547.0	534.9	512.4	513.5	542.9	577.8



Percent of Market Value (POMV) Transfer Forecast

Ę



FY25 Budget Development



FY25 Budget Development

• In process – FY25 Budget will be released by Governor Dunleavy by December 15

Baseline for working purposes

- **FY 25 POMV** = **\$3,656** (*little bit less than Spring 2023 forecast of \$3,726.4*)
- **FY 25 Petroleum Revenue = \$2006.8** (@ \$70 barrel and 497,900 daily ANS production)
- FY 25 Non-Petroleum Revenue = \$496.2

= \$6,159 (baseline for FY25 using Spring 2023 Forecast)



Other Economic Development Prospects



Other Economic Development Prospects-Carbon Credits

- Carbon Credits Offset – SB48 Signed into Law

Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation
Commission (AOGCC) given authority over
Class VI wells, which makes Alaska the 3rd
state to manage this itself.

- Carbon Offset Program: The bill establishes a carbon offset program within the DNR
- Forest carbon potential: tens of millions of forested state lands
- Kelp potential: 60 million acres of tide and submerged lands





Other Economic Development Prospects-Carbon Capture

- Carbon Capture and Underground Sequestration (CCUS) – SB50 Proposed and Active

- Aims to regulate the storage and utilization of carbon dioxide, through injection into deep rock formations for long-term underground storage.



Source: Shellenbaum, D.P., and Clough, J.G. 2010. Alaska Geologic Carbon Sequestration Potential Estimate: Screening Saline Basins and Refining Coal Estimates: California Energy Commission



<u>Other Economic Development Prospects-Cook Inlet Royalty</u> <u>Reduction</u>

- On October 26, 2023, Governor Dunleavy announced that we are planning to introduce a legislative proposal for the upcoming legislative session to reduce the royalty rate paid by producers to the state in an effort to provide affordable energy to the Railbelt.
- The leg proposal is an initial step in incentivizing and attracting new investments in the Cook Inlet Basin.
- The leg proposal focuses on qualified new development and production and does not impact revenues currently derived from the existing fields.
- DOR is evaluating additional prospects that will further incentivize investments and development in the Cook Inlet.



Alaska's Credit and Debt Profile



Alaska's Credit and Debt Profile

- On July 20, 2023 the Kroll Bond rating agency assigned the State of Alaska a <u>AA rating with a stable outlook</u>.
- Highest Bond Rating since 2017
- Ratings Summary

Rating Agency	Grade	Outlook
Kroll Rating Agency	AA	Stable
S&P Global Ratings	AA-	Positive
Moody's Investors Service	Aa3	Stable
Fitch Ratings	A+	Stable



Alaska's Credit and Debt Profile

<u>Debt Profile – As of June 30, 2022</u>

Type of Debt Obligation	Par Remaining	Int. Remaining	Year of Final Maturity (FY)	FY 2023 UGF Payment	Total Debt Service to Maturity
General Obligation*	Acad 075 000	¢222,622,022	2011		<u> </u>
	<mark>\$621,875,000</mark>	\$229,630,000	2041	\$73,538,000	\$851,505,000
Subject to Appropriation (COPs/Lease Revenue)	\$177,695,000	\$50,287,000	2033	\$22,362,000	\$227,982,000
Total	\$799,570,000	\$279,917,000		\$95,900,000	\$1,079,487,000

Type of Debt Obligation	Par Amount or Current Valuation	Year of Final Payment	FY 2024 UGF Payment Projection
School Debt Reimbursement ("SDRP")**	\$440,200,000	2040	\$53,619,000



THANK YOU

Adam Crum Commissioner Department of Revenue





Appendix-A



Healthy Resource Development Indicators



• The Willow project is a proposed oil development wholly owned and operated by ConocoPhillips. Willow is located within the federal National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska (NPR-A), and, if developed, would become the most western oil development on Alaska's North Slope.

180,000 barrels/day initial production.



Willow Project

THE STATE

OVERNOR MICH

Major Resource Development Projects on the Horizon – Willow Development



Cumulative To Year	State	Impac Commu			NSB		Federal		roducer
2033	\$ 924.9	\$	1,423.6	\$	417.6	\$	1,629.3	\$	723.9
2043	\$ 4,396.6	\$	2,878.4	\$	969.9	\$	4,915.5	\$	7,563.4
2053	\$ 6,282.8	\$	3,413.0	\$	1,263.9	\$	6,079.3	\$	9,880.5
Cash Flow Positive	FY 2030		FY 2024		FY 2024		FY 2031	F	Y 2033

• Santos and Repsol have just taken a final investment decision for a \$2.6 billion investment in the Pikka development – the largest conventional onshore oil discovery in the U.S. in three decades.

• First oil anticipated in 2026, with phase one production expected at 80,000 barrels of oil per day (bopd), with potential expansion in a second phase with potential to reach 120,000 bopd total.

• Two new North Slope units - Horseshoe and Quokka - approved this year.

- Santos committed to a net-zero project.
- Strong support from State of Alaska, North Slope Borough, and Arctic Slope Regional Corporation.

 2,600 jobs created during construction, and more than 500 long term jobs created during operation.



Alaska LNG Project

Highlights



Unique Advantages of AK LNG: UNLIMITED RESOURCES

- Alaska's North Slope holds an estimated 236 trillion cubic feet (tcf) of conventional gas, including 40 tcf of proven reserves a 50 year+ supply.
- Prudhoe Bay reinjects 8.5 billion cubic feet of gas per day.
- No resource risk
- Co-located with extensive existing infrastructure
- \$10 billion in new North Slope oil invesments (ConocoPhillips, Santos)





Unique Advantages of AK LNG: GOVERNMENT

- AK LNG is backed by the full faith and credit of the United States government-\$30 billion loan guarantee.
- AK LNG has secured all 35 federal permits necessary to proceed.
- **Biden Department of Energy SEIS fully supportive**
- **Only U.S. West Coast LNG project**
- Strong, united support of Alaska's elected leaders
- Record of Decision published May 1, 2023.



THE STATE GOVERNOR MICHAEL J. DUNLEAVY

ASKA

Unique Advantages of AK LNG: PROXIMITY





GOVERNOR MICHAEL J. DUNLEAVY

Complete Stakeholder Support for AK LNG





AK LNG Execution Strategy

ALASKA GASLINE * *, Development corp. * *

AGDC's Role: Transition to Private Investors

- AGDC is seeking qualified partners and investors to advance Alaska LNG to FID
- AGDC created the project company 8 Star Alaska, LLC (8 Star) to function as the parent company of the project
- AGDC is transitioning Alaska LNG assets under 8 Star and is selling 75% equity ownership of the company to investors in exchange for taking the project to FID
- AGDC will retain a 25% carried interest in 8 Star

8 🛧 S T A R

8 Star's Role: Manage Alaska LNG through FID

- 8 Star will be managed by private investors with AGDC being a minority owner
- 8 Star will be the project manager and retain oversight of all 3 aspects of the project through to FID
- 8 Star ownership is likely to consist of one "lead party" with other strategic partners owning minority stakes
- At FID, 8 Star will raise the construction capital for each of the three project subcomponents



After Investment



Alaska's Energy Transition Projects



Alaska's Alternative Energy Transition Projects

Energy Transition Outlook

Potential areas of distinctiveness	Potential 2040 opportunity	Opportunity context ou	Estimated Capex Itlay to 2040 ² (\$Bln)	
Support across government and society (e.g, government backing on development like the Red Dog Mine/Willow, strong public perception of energy as a source of benefit to the state)				
Historically efficient permitting process for abundant state land				
Ample resources with an established talent pool and planned AKLNG		~5% market share	40-70 ³	
G Access to Asia demand		of Pacific Basin		
Ample resources with low breakeven costs (~\$30/bbl on North Slope)		Grow Alaska's oil	30-40 ⁴	
Established labor force, existing infrastructure , trade relationships with Asia and public support of no-flare oil fields	~600 kbpd	production by ~1/3 by 2040		
"CCUS hub" possible given geological potential, planned infrastructure, and potential to leverage existing maritime trade routes (e.g., Japan/South Korea into the Cook Inlet), Class VI well primacy	~50 GT	~50 yrs of Japan + South Korea emissions	10-15 ⁵	
Synergy potential with natural gas production (blue) and geothermal/wind (green) in Cook Inlet and North Slope.	>1 mtpa	~5% market share of green/blue supply	-	
Potential ability to leverage existing ammonia and shipping infrastructure with access to Asia		announced by 2030)	
Potential for development in Southern Alaska and on the Aleutian islands due to abundant resource availability and Railbelt connectivity to local demand, or capacity to export (e.g., hydrogen, synfuels)	8-14 GW	6-10x current Alaska electricity consumption	20-40 ⁷	
		·	\$105-\$175 bln	
		Compare	d to Alaska GDP of ~\$50 bin	
	 Support across government and society (e.g, government backing on development like the Red Dog Mine/Willow, strong public perception of energy as a source of benefit to the state) Historically efficient permitting process for abundant state land Ample resources with an established talent pool and planned AKLNG Access to Asia demand Ample resources with low breakeven costs (~\$30/bbl on North Slope) Established labor force, existing infrastructure, trade relationships with Asia and public support of no-flare oil fields "CCUS hub" possible given geological potential, planned infrastructure, and potential to leverage existing maritime trade routes (e.g., Japan/South Korea into the Cook Inlet), Class VI well primacy Synergy potential with natural gas production (blue) and geothermal/wind (green) in Cook Inlet and North Slope. Potential ability to leverage existing ammonia and shipping infrastructure with access to Asia Potential for development in Southern Alaska and on the Aleutian 	Potential areas of distinctivenessopportunitySupport across government and society (e.g, government backing on development like the Red Dog Mine/Willow, strong public perception of energy as a source of benefit to the state)Historically efficient permitting process for abundant state landAmple resources with an established talent pool and planned AKLNG Access to Asia demandAmple resources with low breakeven costs (~\$30/bbl on North Slope) Established labor force, existing infrastructure, trade relationships with Asia and public support of no-flare oil fields"CCUS hub" possible given geological potential, planned infrastructure, and potential to leverage existing maritime trade routes (e.g., Japan/South Korea into the Cook Inlet), Class VI well primacy<-50 GT	Potential areas of distinctivenessopportunitycontextoutSupport across government and society (e.g., government backing on development like the Red Dog Mine/Willow, strong public perception of energy as a source of benefit to the state)contextoutHistorically efficient permitting process for abundant state landAmple resources with an established talent pool and planned AKLNG Access to Asia demand20 mtpa~5% market share of Pacific BasinAmple resources with low breakeven costs (~\$30/bbl on North Slope) Established labor force, existing infrastructure, trade relationships with Asia and public support of no-flare oil fields-600 kbpdGrow Alaska's oil production by ~1/3 by 2040"CCUS hub" possible given geological potential, planned infrastructure, and potential to leverage existing maritime trade routes (e.g., Japan/South Korea into the Cook Inlet), Class VI well primacy-50 GT~50 wrst of Japan + South Korea emissionsSynergy potential ability to leverage existing ammonia and shipping infrastructure with access to Asia>1 mtpa~5% market share of green/blue suppl announced by 2030Potential for development in Southern Alaska and on the Aleutian islands due to abundant resource availability and Railbelt connectivity to local demand, or capacity to export (e.g., hydrogen, synfuels)8.14 GW6-10x current Alaska electricity consumption	